International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy
E-ISSN: (2745-6013)
P-ISSN: (2745-6005)
Vol. 7 No. 1, 2026
Page: 41-56

Educational Inequality and Digital Learning Access Among Rural Students in Uttar Pradesh, India

Aarav Vihaan[1], Advait Reyansh[1], Vivaan Ishaan[1]

[1]Bennett University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh 201310, India

*Corresponding Author: Aarav Vihaan

Article Info

Article History:

Received 20 January 2026
Revised 18 February 2026
Accepted: 15 Maret 2026

Keywords:

Educational Inequality, Digital Learning Access, Digital Divide.

Abstract

This study examines educational inequality and digital learning access among rural students in Uttar Pradesh, India. The rapid expansion of digital education, particularly during and after the COVID-19 pandemic, has highlighted significant disparities in access to technology and online learning opportunities between urban and rural communities. The purpose of this study is to explore the experiences of rural students in accessing digital learning, identify the factors contributing to educational inequality, and examine the impact of digital inequality on students' learning experiences. This study employs a qualitative approach using a descriptive qualitative design. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with rural secondary school students and teachers, supported by observations and relevant secondary data. The findings indicate that many rural students experience limited access to digital devices, unstable internet connectivity, low digital literacy, and financial constraints that hinder their participation in digital learning. The study also reveals that educational inequality is influenced by broader structural factors, including poverty, inadequate digital infrastructure, gender disparities, limited institutional support, and unfavorable home learning environments. Furthermore, digital inequality affects students' academic performance, learning motivation, classroom participation, communication with teachers, and psychological well-being. The study concludes that digital inequality is a multidimensional problem that contributes significantly to educational inequality among rural students in Uttar Pradesh. Therefore, comprehensive efforts involving government support, infrastructure development, affordable access to digital technology, institutional support, and digital literacy programs are needed to promote more inclusive and equitable educational opportunities for rural students.

Introduction

The development of digital technology has brought about significant changes in the global education system, particularly since the increased use of the internet and online learning in recent years (Wang et al., 2024). Education, which previously relied on conventional methods, is now transforming into a digital-based learning system, allowing students more flexible and broader access to education. However, this transformation has not provided equitable benefits for all groups in society. In many developing countries, including India, access to educational technology still shows significant disparities between urban and rural areas. This disparity is a major factor exacerbating educational inequality among rural students (Kumar et al., 2024).

India is one of the most populous countries in the world and has a highly complex education system. Despite experiencing rapid technological development, access to digital education remains unequal, particularly in rural areas like Uttar Pradesh (Ware, 2023). Uttar Pradesh is one of the most populous states in India and has a relatively high

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

poverty rate and limited infrastructure compared to other regions in the country. This situation makes it difficult for many students in rural areas to access digital learning optimally (Samane et al., 2022).

Educational inequality in rural India has become increasingly apparent since the COVID-19 pandemic forced schools to shift to online learning (Sanil, 2025). Many students in rural areas lack digital devices such as smartphones, laptops, or stable internet access to participate in online learning. School closures during the pandemic widened the education gap, as millions of students from low-income families lacked adequate access to digital learning (Golden et al., 2023). This situation demonstrates that technology, which should be a means of equalizing education, can deepen inequality if it is not supported by equal access.

In addition to limited devices and internet access, low digital literacy is also a major obstacle to the implementation of digital learning in rural areas. Many students and teachers in rural areas lack the skills to use educational technology effectively. This results in suboptimal online learning processes and poor learning quality. According to research by (Singh et al., 2024), most rural students in India face difficulties using online learning platforms due to a lack of technology training and a lack of support for digital education facilities. Thus, the issue of digital access is not only related to the availability of technology, but also to the individual's ability to utilize it (Afzal et al., 2023).

Family economic factors also significantly influence rural students' access to digital education. Low-income families generally cannot afford to provide electronic devices or regular internet data packages for their children (Yates et al., 2024). In many cases, a single smartphone must be shared by multiple family members, disrupting students' learning activities. Children from poor families in rural areas are at higher risk of learning loss due to limited access to digital learning. This situation demonstrates that economic inequality directly contributes to inequality in technology-based education (Xiao, 2023).

Beyond economic factors, inadequate digital infrastructure is also a serious challenge in Uttar Pradesh. Many villages still have slow and unstable internet connections, making it difficult for students to attend online classes, download learning materials, or access digital education platforms (Aashish et al., 2024). The lack of stable electricity in some rural areas further exacerbates the situation. limited digital infrastructure in rural areas is a major cause of the low effectiveness of online learning in developing countries. Therefore, equitable distribution of technological infrastructure is crucial for reducing educational inequality (Begum et al., 2025).

The problem of digital educational inequality not only impacts students' current learning process but also their future. Students who lack access to educational technology tend to lag in mastering the digital skills increasingly needed in the modern workplace (Nyale et al., 2026). This inequality can widen social and economic gaps between groups in society in the long term. In the context of globalization and the digital revolution, In the era of Industry 4.0, the ability to access and use technology is a key factor determining the quality of human resources. Therefore, the issue of digital learning access in rural areas requires serious attention from the government, educational institutions, and the community (Chauhan et al., 2025).

Research on educational inequality and digital learning access in Uttar Pradesh is crucial because this region represents the various educational challenges faced by rural areas in

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

developing countries (Mishra et al., 2023). This research is expected to provide a more in-depth picture of the condition of digital education access for rural students, the factors influencing this inequality, and its impact on the learning process. Furthermore, the results of this study can also serve as a reference for the government and policymakers in formulating more effective and inclusive strategies for equitable digital education (Gottschalk et al., 2023).

This research focuses on analyzing educational inequality and access to digital learning among rural students in Uttar Pradesh, India (Mandal, 2025). This research is expected to make both academic and practical contributions to understanding the relationship between the digital divide and educational inequality, particularly in rural areas of developing countries.

Methods

Research Approach

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore educational inequality and digital learning access among rural students in Uttar Pradesh, India. A qualitative approach is considered appropriate because the study focuses on understanding students' and teachers' experiences, perceptions, challenges, and social conditions related to the implementation of digital learning. Rather than measuring digital access statistically, this study seeks to obtain an in-depth understanding of how limited access to digital devices, unstable internet connectivity, economic conditions, digital literacy, gender-related factors, and institutional support influence students' educational experiences.

The qualitative approach enables the researcher to examine the experiences of participants within their natural educational and social contexts. Through direct interaction with students and teachers, the study explores how digital inequality is experienced in everyday learning activities and how such inequality contributes to differences in educational opportunities among rural students.

Research Design

This study uses a descriptive qualitative research design. The design is intended to provide a detailed description and interpretation of the experiences and perceptions of rural students and teachers regarding digital learning access and educational inequality.

The research focuses on several important aspects, including students' access to digital devices and internet services, their ability to use digital learning platforms, economic and household conditions, gender-related barriers, learning environments, and the readiness of schools to implement digital education. Data are collected through in-depth interviews and observations, supported by relevant secondary documents and literature.

The descriptive qualitative design allows the researcher to identify patterns and themes emerging from participants' experiences without relying on numerical measurement or statistical hypothesis testing.

Research Location and Time

This research is conducted in rural areas of Uttar Pradesh, India. Uttar Pradesh is selected as the research location because the region faces significant challenges related to educational inequality and digital learning access. Rural communities in the region

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

experience various barriers, including limited internet connectivity, inadequate ownership of digital devices, economic difficulties, and limited digital infrastructure.

The research is planned to be conducted over several months, covering the stages of research preparation, participant selection, data collection, data analysis, interpretation of findings, and report writing. The research activities are conducted within the natural educational and social settings of the selected rural communities and schools.

Participants and Sampling Technique

The participants in this study consist of rural secondary school students and teachers in Uttar Pradesh, India**.** Students are selected as the primary participants because they directly experience the challenges of accessing and participating in digital learning. Teachers are included as supporting informants because they have direct experience in implementing and observing digital learning among students.

Participants are selected using purposive sampling. This sampling technique is used to identify participants who have relevant experiences and can provide detailed information related to the research objectives. The criteria for student participants include secondary school students living in rural areas of Uttar Pradesh who have experienced online learning or used digital technology for educational purposes. Teacher participants are selected based on their experience in teaching or supporting students through digital learning activities.

The number of participants is determined based on the adequacy and richness of the information obtained during the data collection process. Data collection continues until the information obtained becomes sufficiently comprehensive and no substantially new themes emerge.

Data Collection Techniques

Data in this study are collected primarily through in-depth interviews and observations, with secondary data used to complement the primary findings.

In-depth interviews are conducted with selected students and teachers using semi-structured interview guidelines. The interviews explore participants' experiences and perceptions regarding digital learning access, including ownership and use of digital devices, internet connectivity, affordability of internet data, digital literacy, family support, learning environments, and difficulties encountered during online learning. The interviews also examine how these conditions affect students' participation, motivation, academic experiences, and educational opportunities.

Observations are conducted to examine the actual conditions of educational facilities and digital infrastructure in selected rural schools and surrounding communities. The observations focus on the availability of digital devices, internet facilities, electricity supply, learning environments, and other conditions relevant to digital learning.

Secondary data are obtained from academic journals, government reports, UNESCO, UNICEF, the World Bank, and other relevant literature concerning educational inequality, digital learning, and the digital divide in India. These sources are used to provide contextual information and support the interpretation of the primary qualitative findings.

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

Research Instruments

The primary instruments in this qualitative study are the researcher and semi-structured interview guidelines**.** The researcher serves as the main instrument in collecting, interpreting, and analyzing qualitative data.

The interview guidelines consist of open-ended questions developed according to the objectives of the study. The questions cover several areas, including access to digital devices, internet connectivity, digital literacy, family economic conditions, family support, gender-related experiences, learning environments, school support, teacher experiences, and the impact of digital inequality on learning.

Observation guidelines are also used to help the researcher systematically document the condition of digital infrastructure, educational facilities, and the learning environment in the selected research locations. The interview and observation guidelines remain flexible so that the researcher can explore emerging issues raised by participants during the data collection process.

Data Analysis Techniques

The qualitative data are analyzed using thematic analysis. Thematic analysis is employed to identify, organize, and interpret patterns and themes emerging from the interview and observation data.

The analysis begins with the transcription of interview recordings and the organization of observation notes. The researcher then reads the collected data repeatedly to gain an overall understanding of participants' experiences. Relevant statements and information are subsequently coded according to their meanings and relevance to the research questions.

The codes are then grouped into broader categories and themes. The potential themes include limited digital device access, unstable internet connectivity, economic barriers, digital literacy limitations, gender inequality, inadequate learning environments, limited institutional support, and the impact of digital inequality on students' learning experiences. The researcher subsequently reviews and interprets these themes to develop an integrated understanding of educational inequality and digital learning access among rural students.

The findings from students and teachers are compared to identify similarities, differences, and complementary perspectives. This process enables the researcher to develop a comprehensive interpretation of how digital inequality affects educational opportunities in rural Uttar Pradesh.

Results and Discussion

Table 1. Key Factors Contributing to Educational Inequality among Rural Students

Factor	Evidence Identified in the Study	Effect on Digital Learning	Educational Consequence
Economic conditions	Low-income families had difficulty purchasing devices and internet data; several students had to share one smartphone with family members.	Restricted access to online classes and learning materials.	Reduced participation and increased learning disparities.

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

Digital infrastructure	Students experienced unstable internet connections, weak network coverage, and unreliable electricity.	Interrupted online classes and limited access to digital resources.	Missed lessons, reduced comprehension, and lower academic performance.
Digital literacy	Some students and teachers lacked the skills required to operate learning applications and online platforms.	Difficulties accessing, navigating, and using digital learning systems.	Frustration, reduced engagement, and ineffective learning.
Sociocultural factors	Female students in some households experienced restrictions on device use and additional household responsibilities.	Reduced time and opportunity to participate in digital learning.	Gender-related disparities in educational participation.
Institutional support	Rural schools lacked adequate technological facilities, teacher training, and technical assistance.	Limited capacity to implement and sustain effective digital learning.	Weak instructional effectiveness and inconsistent student engagement.
Home learning environment	Some students studied in crowded or noisy homes and lacked a dedicated study space.	Difficulty concentrating and participating effectively in online learning.	Reduced learning effectiveness and academic performance.

Source: *Synthesized from the findings of the present study.*

Table 1. demonstrates that educational inequality among rural students is not attributable to a single technological barrier but results from the interaction of economic, infrastructural, technological, sociocultural, institutional, and household conditions. Economic constraints represent a fundamental barrier because families with limited financial resources may be unable to provide individual digital devices or sufficient internet data. This limitation is reinforced by inadequate digital infrastructure, particularly unstable internet connectivity and electricity, which disrupt students' continuity of learning. The findings further demonstrate that physical access to technology does not automatically guarantee meaningful participation. Students with limited digital literacy may still experience difficulties using online platforms even when devices and connectivity are available. This indicates that digital access should be understood in terms of both availability and capability**.**

The table also highlights the social and institutional dimensions of digital inequality. Gender-related restrictions and household responsibilities may reduce female students' opportunities to participate in digital learning, while inadequate institutional support limits teachers' ability to provide effective technology-mediated instruction. Similarly, unfavorable home learning environments can further constrain students' concentration and engagement. These factors do not operate independently; rather, they can accumulate and reinforce one another. For example, a student from a low-income household may share a smartphone, experience unstable internet connectivity, lack digital skills, and study in a crowded home environment simultaneously. Such cumulative disadvantages help explain why digital inequality can develop into broader educational inequality.

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

Digital Access Barriers Among Rural Students

The qualitative findings indicate that rural students in Uttar Pradesh experience various barriers in accessing digital learning. The experiences shared by students and teachers reveal that access to digital education is influenced not only by the availability of technological devices but also by internet connectivity, the affordability of internet services, and students' ability to use digital technologies effectively. These barriers often occur simultaneously and create difficulties for students in participating consistently in digital learning activities.

One of the most prominent barriers identified through the participants' experiences was limited access to personal digital devices. Students reported that they primarily relied on smartphones to participate in online learning, while access to personal laptops or tablets was limited. In some households, a single smartphone had to be shared among several family members. This situation restricted students' flexibility in attending online classes, accessing learning materials, and completing assignments. One student explained:

> *"I could only attend online classes at night because my father used the phone during the day for work."*

The experience illustrates that the availability of a device within a household does not necessarily mean that students have meaningful and continuous access to digital learning. When devices are shared among family members, students must adjust their learning activities according to the availability of the device.

Unstable internet connectivity was another important barrier identified by the participants. Students described internet connections in their villages as slow and unreliable, particularly during certain periods or under unfavorable weather conditions. Some students also reported having to move to locations where the network signal was stronger in order to participate in online learning. One student stated:

> *"Sometimes the internet stopped in the middle of class, and I missed important explanations from the teacher."*

The participant's experience demonstrates how unreliable connectivity can interrupt the learning process. Students may be physically willing to participate in online classes but remain unable to follow lessons continuously because of technological and infrastructural limitations.

The affordability of internet data also emerged from the participants' accounts as an important dimension of digital access. Students from economically disadvantaged households explained that their families could not always afford sufficient internet data for online classes, downloading learning materials, and accessing educational videos. One student shared:

> *"My family could not always afford internet data, so I often borrowed notes from friends instead of joining classes online."*

This experience shows that digital access is closely connected to household economic conditions. Even when students have access to a smartphone, the inability to regularly purchase internet data can prevent them from making meaningful use of digital learning resources.

The participants also described differences in digital literacy. Some students experienced difficulties using educational applications, online learning platforms, and virtual classroom systems. Students who had limited previous experience with digital technology required additional assistance to participate in online learning activities. One student explained:

> *"At first, I did not know how to upload assignments or join video meetings because nobody had taught me before."*

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

This finding suggests that digital learning access involves more than physical possession of technological devices. Students also require sufficient knowledge and confidence to use digital technologies for educational purposes.

Teachers' experiences further supported the students' accounts. Teachers observed that students with stable internet connections and personal devices were generally able to participate more actively, whereas students facing technological limitations experienced greater difficulties in keeping up with learning activities. One teacher stated:

> *"Students who had good internet and their own devices could participate more actively, while others often fell behind."*

Overall, the participants' experiences demonstrate that digital access among rural students is constrained by interconnected barriers involving device availability, internet connectivity, financial capacity, and digital literacy. These barriers shape students' opportunities to participate in digital learning and create different learning experiences among students in rural communities.

Structural and Sociocultural Factors Contributing to Educational Inequality

The qualitative findings further reveal that educational inequality cannot be separated from the broader social and structural conditions experienced by rural students. The accounts of students and teachers indicate that economic circumstances, digital infrastructure, digital literacy, gender-related expectations, institutional preparedness, and home learning environments interact in shaping students' educational opportunities.

Economic conditions were repeatedly reflected in the experiences of participants. Students described living in households where parents worked as farmers, laborers, or small-scale traders and where household income could be unstable. These economic circumstances affected families' ability to provide digital devices and internet services for educational purposes. One student explained:

> *"There was only one smartphone in my family, so my sister and I had to take turns attending online classes."*

This experience illustrates how household economic limitations can translate into unequal educational opportunities. The problem is not simply the absence of technology, but the inability of some families to provide continuous and individual access to the resources required for digital learning.

The participants also identified inadequate digital infrastructure as a significant structural barrier. Students described weak internet connectivity and limited network coverage in their communities, while teachers highlighted difficulties associated with inadequate technological facilities. These conditions made it difficult for students to access online learning materials and participate continuously in digital learning activities. One student stated:

> *"Even when I wanted to study online, the internet connection in my village was too weak most of the time."*

The experience indicates that educational inequality is also shaped by differences in infrastructure between rural communities and areas with better technological facilities.

Digital literacy was another factor emerging from the participants' experiences. Students who had limited exposure to technology before the expansion of online learning described difficulties in adapting to digital platforms. Teachers also reported challenges related to their

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

own technological preparedness and their ability to support students in digital learning environments. One student explained:

> *"I was afraid of making mistakes because I did not know how to use the learning applications properly."*

The finding demonstrates that unequal technological skills can reinforce existing educational disparities. Students who lack the knowledge and confidence to use digital platforms may have fewer opportunities to benefit from available digital learning resources.

Sociocultural conditions also influenced students' experiences, particularly in relation to gender. Female students described additional responsibilities within the household that could interfere with their learning time. In some cases, access to digital devices was also influenced by family priorities. One female student stated:

> *"I had to finish household chores before I could use the phone for my classes."*

This account illustrates how gender-related expectations can influence students' ability to participate in digital education. The findings suggest that digital inequality may be experienced differently by students depending on their social and family circumstances.

Institutional support was another theme emerging from the interviews. Teachers described difficulties in implementing digital learning because of inadequate technological facilities, limited training, and insufficient technical assistance. One teacher explained:

> *"We tried to continue teaching online, but many schools were not prepared for digital education."*

The teachers' experiences indicate that the success of digital learning depends not only on students' access to technology but also on the readiness of schools and teachers to support digital education.

The home learning environment also shaped students' experiences. Some students described studying in crowded or noisy households where it was difficult to concentrate during online classes. One student explained:

> *"It was difficult to focus during classes because many family members stayed in the same room."*

This finding shows that digital learning takes place within social and physical environments that can either facilitate or constrain students' participation. Therefore, educational inequality is produced through the interaction of economic, infrastructural, technological, sociocultural, institutional, and household conditions.

The Impact of Digital Inequality on Students' Learning Experiences

The participants' experiences indicate that digital inequality has consequences that extend beyond students' ability to access technology. Students and teachers described how limited digital access influenced academic participation, understanding of learning materials, motivation, emotional experiences, development of digital skills, and communication between students and teachers.

One of the most prominent impacts described by participants was difficulty maintaining continuity in learning. Students who experienced unstable internet connections or limited access to digital devices reported missing lessons and experiencing difficulties following learning materials. One student stated:

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

"I missed many lessons because the internet connection often disconnected during online classes."

The experience demonstrates how interruptions in digital access can disrupt students' learning processes. When students repeatedly miss lessons or cannot access learning materials, they may experience difficulties maintaining continuity with classroom activities.

Participants also described reduced engagement and participation in digital learning. Students experiencing technical difficulties, limited internet data, or shared devices often found it difficult to participate consistently. Teachers observed that some students became less active in online discussions and learning activities because of these barriers. One teacher explained:

"Some students stopped attending classes regularly because they became frustrated with internet and device problems."

This account indicates that technological barriers can influence students' willingness and ability to remain engaged in learning. Repeated difficulties may gradually discourage students from participating in digital learning activities.

The participants also described emotional and motivational consequences. Some students expressed feelings of stress, frustration, and discouragement when they were unable to participate in learning activities in the same way as their peers. One respondent stated:

"I felt hopeless when I could not join classes while my friends were able to continue learning."

This experience suggests that digital inequality can affect students' emotional well-being as well as their academic experiences. Differences in access may create feelings of exclusion when students compare their learning opportunities with those of their peers.

Learning loss was another issue identified through the experiences of students and teachers. Teachers described difficulties in maintaining students' understanding of basic learning concepts when participation in online education was inconsistent. One teacher mentioned:

"Many students forgot basic concepts because they could not follow online lessons properly during the pandemic."

The teachers' observations suggest that interruptions in digital learning may have consequences for students' continuity of knowledge and academic development.

Digital inequality also influenced students' opportunities to develop technological skills. Students with limited opportunities to use computers, online platforms, or educational applications had fewer opportunities to become familiar with digital learning environments. One student explained:

"I only learned how to use online learning applications after the pandemic started, but I still struggle with technology."

This experience demonstrates that unequal access can become a continuing cycle. Students who have limited opportunities to use technology may also have fewer opportunities to develop the digital competencies needed for future educational and social participation.

Finally, participants described difficulties in communication between students and teachers. Limited internet connectivity and restricted access to communication devices made it difficult for some students to contact teachers, receive feedback, or ask questions about learning materials. Teachers also experienced difficulties in monitoring students' progress. One teacher stated:

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

"It was difficult to know whether students understood the lessons because many of them could not communicate consistently online."

Taken together, the qualitative findings show that digital inequality affects students' learning experiences through multiple interconnected pathways. Limited access to devices and internet services can interrupt participation, reduce engagement, create emotional difficulties, restrict opportunities to develop digital skills, and weaken communication between students and teachers. These experiences demonstrate that digital inequality is not simply a problem of technological access but also an important dimension of educational inequality.

Digital Inequality and Educational Experiences among Rural Students

This study aimed to examine educational inequality and digital learning access among rural students in Uttar Pradesh, India, with a particular focus on identifying the factors influencing unequal digital access and understanding how such inequality affects students' learning experiences (Joshi, 2024). The findings reveal that digital inequality is not merely a technological issue, but a multidimensional structural problem shaped by economic disparities, infrastructural limitations, sociocultural conditions, and institutional inadequacies. The discussion of these findings contributes to broader academic debates on the relationship between the digital divide and educational inequality in developing societies (Christanti et al., 2024).

One of the most significant findings of this study is that access to digital learning in rural Uttar Pradesh is deeply stratified by socioeconomic status (Choudhury et al., 2023). Students from low-income households experienced substantial disadvantages in accessing online education due to limited ownership of digital devices, inability to afford internet services, and inadequate learning environments at home. This finding aligns with existing literature suggesting that technological inequality reproduces and intensifies pre-existing social inequalities rather than reducing them. In this context, digital learning cannot be understood as an inherently democratizing educational innovation (Peurach et al 2022). Instead, without equitable access to infrastructure and resources, digital education risks reinforcing systemic patterns of exclusion among already marginalized populations.

The findings further indicate that digital inequality in rural India should be interpreted through the lens of structural inequality. The unequal distribution of technological resources reflects broader disparities in economic development, rural infrastructure, and state investment. Villages with weak internet connectivity, unstable electricity, and limited technological services are structurally disadvantaged within the digital transformation process (Doloi, 2025). This demonstrates that educational inequality in rural areas cannot be addressed solely through pedagogical reforms or technological interventions at the school level. Rather, it requires comprehensive structural development policies that integrate education, infrastructure, economic support, and rural modernization. From a theoretical perspective, this finding supports the argument that the digital divide operates as a manifestation of broader social inequality rather than as an isolated technological problem (Yang et al., 2023).

Another important dimension emerging from this study is the role of digital literacy in shaping educational outcomes. Students who possessed prior technological knowledge or received support from family members were more capable of adapting to online learning systems. Conversely, students with limited digital literacy encountered

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

difficulties navigating educational platforms, participating in virtual classrooms, and completing online assignments. This finding suggests that access to technology alone is insufficient for ensuring educational equity. The concept of "meaningful access" becomes particularly relevant here, as educational inclusion depends not only on physical access to devices and internet connectivity but also on the skills and competencies necessary to utilize technology effectively. Consequently, digital literacy should be conceptualized as a critical educational resource closely linked to social capital and educational opportunity (Lo et al., 2024).

The findings also reveal the gendered dimensions of digital inequality. Female students in some rural communities faced additional barriers related to household responsibilities, restricted device usage, and sociocultural expectations. These findings reflect the intersectionality of educational inequality, where gender, poverty, and rural marginalization interact to produce compounded forms of disadvantage. This suggests that digital learning policies that fail to address gendered social norms may unintentionally reproduce patriarchal inequalities within educational systems. Therefore, discussions on digital inclusion in developing countries must incorporate gender-sensitive approaches that recognize the differentiated experiences of male and female students (Muhammed, 2025).

The impact of digital inequality on students' academic performance and psychological well-being also deserves critical attention. The findings demonstrate that inconsistent access to online learning contributed to reduced participation, learning loss, emotional stress, and declining academic motivation among students. This extends the implications of digital inequality beyond educational access alone and highlights its broader psychosocial consequences. Students who repeatedly experienced exclusion from online learning environments often developed feelings of frustration, inferiority, and hopelessness. Such experiences may contribute to long-term educational disengagement and reduced social mobility (Uyanne, 2024). Therefore, educational inequality in the digital era should not only be measured through material access indicators but also through its emotional and developmental consequences for learners.

From an institutional perspective, the findings expose significant weaknesses in the preparedness of rural schools for digital transformation. Many schools lacked adequate technological infrastructure, teacher training, and administrative support necessary for effective online learning implementation. Teachers struggled to maintain student engagement and adapt pedagogical strategies within technologically constrained environments. This finding raises important questions regarding the assumption that digitalization automatically improves educational quality. Technological integration without institutional readiness may create fragmented and ineffective learning experiences. Consequently, educational modernization requires not only technological provision but also substantial investment in teacher capacity development, curriculum adaptation, and institutional support systems (Buabeng et al., 2025).

The implications of this study are both theoretical and practical. Theoretically, the findings contribute to discussions on educational inequality by demonstrating how digital exclusion functions as a contemporary mechanism of social stratification in developing regions. The study expands existing understandings of the digital divide by emphasizing its interconnected relationship with economic inequality, rural marginalization, and sociocultural structures (Khan, 2024). Furthermore, the findings

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

support critical theories of education which argue that educational systems often reproduce broader societal inequalities when access to resources is unevenly distributed.

Practically, the study highlights the urgent need for inclusive educational policies aimed at reducing digital inequality in rural India. Policymakers should prioritize the expansion of affordable internet infrastructure, provision of subsidized digital devices, and implementation of community-based digital literacy programs. Additionally, educational policies must address the specific needs of marginalized groups, particularly female students and economically disadvantaged families. Schools in rural areas should also receive greater institutional support, including teacher training programs, technological resources, and sustainable digital learning frameworks (Sultana et al., 2023).

The findings additionally carry implications for post-pandemic educational policy. While digital learning emerged as a necessary response during the COVID-19 crisis, this study demonstrates that unequal technological access may produce long-term educational consequences if not addressed systematically (Zancajo et al., 2022). Therefore, future educational strategies should adopt hybrid and inclusive learning models that consider the realities of rural students rather than assuming universal digital readiness.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that educational inequality in rural Uttar Pradesh is deeply intertwined with digital inequality. The transition toward digital education has exposed and intensified existing social disparities among students, particularly those living in economically and infrastructurally marginalized communities. Addressing these challenges requires multidimensional interventions that combine technological investment, educational reform, social inclusion, and structural rural development. Without such comprehensive efforts, digital learning may continue to reproduce inequality rather than serve as a tool for educational empowerment and social transformation (Aramburuzabala et al., 2024).

Conclusion

This study concludes that educational inequality among rural students in Uttar Pradesh, India, is strongly influenced by unequal access to digital learning resources. The findings demonstrate that economic limitations, inadequate digital infrastructure, low levels of digital literacy, sociocultural barriers, and insufficient institutional support collectively restrict students' ability to participate effectively in digital education. As a result, many rural students experience reduced academic performance, limited learning participation, learning loss, and psychological stress during online learning processes. The study further reveals that digital inequality not only reflects technological disparities but also reproduces broader structural inequalities related to poverty, gender, and rural marginalization. Therefore, improving educational equality in rural India requires comprehensive and inclusive strategies, including the expansion of internet infrastructure, affordable access to digital devices, enhancement of digital literacy programs, and stronger institutional support for schools and teachers. Without systematic intervention, the ongoing digital transformation in education may continue to widen existing educational disparities rather than promote equal learning opportunities for all students.

References

Wang, C., Chen, X., Yu, T., Liu, Y., & Jing, Y. (2024). Education reform and change driven by digital technology: A bibliometric study from a global perspective. *Humanities and*

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

Social Sciences Communications, *11*(1), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02717-y

Kumar, A., Sharma, P., Patel, R., Gupta, A., & Singh, V. (2024). Educational inequality and its impact on social and economic opportunities in rural India. *International Journal of Humanities, Management and Social Science (IJ-HuMaSS)*, *7*(2), 87-96. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.ij-humass-0702.697

Ware, N. D. (2023). Online education in India and the widening digital divide. In *Research anthology on remote teaching and learning and the future of online education* (pp. 1870-1885). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-7540-9.ch094

Samane-Cutipa, V. A., Quispe-Quispe, A. M., Talavera-Mendoza, F., & Limaymanta, C. H. (2022). Digital gaps influencing the online learning of rural students in secondary education: A systematic review. *World*, *1*(9), 10. Doi: 10.18178/ijiet.2022.12.7.1671

Golden, A. R., Srisarajivakul, E. N., Hasselle, A. J., Pfund, R. A., & Knox, J. (2023). What was a gap is now a chasm: Remote schooling, the digital divide, and educational inequities resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, *52*, 101632.

Sanil, P. (2025). Caste Dynamics and Educational Disparities in India: An In-Depth Analysis During the Pandemic. *The International Journal of Interdisciplinary Social and Community Studies*, *20*(2), 181. https://doi.org/10.18848/2324-7576/CGP/v20i02/181-198

Singh, A., & Kumar, P. (2024). E-learning ecosystem in higher education institutions: Trends and practices. In *Preparing students for the future educational paradigm* (pp. 1-18). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-1536-1.ch001

Afzal, A., Khan, S., Daud, S., Ahmad, Z., & Butt, A. (2023). Addressing the digital divide: Access and use of technology in education. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, *3*(2). https://doi.org/10.54183/jssr.v3i2.326

Yates, S., Hill, K., Blackwell, C., Davis, A., Padley, M., Stone, E., ... & Barrera, P. (2024). A Minimum Digital Living Standard for Households with Children-Overall Findings Report.

Xiao, J. (2023). Critiquing sustainable openness in technology-based education from the perspective of cost-effectiveness and accessibility. *Open Praxis*, *15*(3), 244-. https://doi.org/10.55982/openpraxis.15.3.569

Aashish, J., & Rohit, K. (2024). Technologies for quality and sustainable online education in rural India: A comprehensive review. *2024 ITU Kaleidoscope: Innovation and Digital Transformation for a Sustainable World (ITU K)*, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.23919/ITUK62727.2024.10772876

Begum, N., & Gul, N. (2025). Bridging the Gap: The Role of Technology in Reducing Educational Inequality in Developing Countries. *ASSAJ*, *3*(02), 2409-2421.

Nyale, D., Karume, S., Kipkebut, A., & Mukudi, F. (2026). Digital skills landscape: A systematic review of current academic programs, industry demands, and the

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

digital divide's impact on graduate competencies. *Industry and Higher Education*, *40*(2), 229-241.

Chauhan, T., Visnu, S., & Kumar, S. (2025). Bridging the Digital Divide: A Review on Digital Literacy, E-Learning, and LMS Solutions for Rural Communities. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202504.0411.v1

Mishra, A., Mishra, A., & Pandey, G. (2023). Spatial inequality and education: unraveling the geographical dimensions of educational disparities. *Techno Learn*, *13*(1), 29-43. DOI:10.30954/2231-4105.01.2023.6

Gottschalk, F., & Weise, C. (2023). Digital equity and inclusion in education: An overview of practice and policy in OECD countries. *OECD Education Working Papers*, (299), 0_1-75. https://dx.do i.org/10.1787/7cb15030-en

Mandal, B. (2025). Digital Divide in Online Education: A Statewise Survey of Internet Accessibility and Usage Patterns in India. *Digital Crossroads: Integrating Humanities, Science and Technology Edition 1*, 135-151. https://doi.org/10.9734/bpi/mono/978-93-48859-10-5/CH12

Joshi, N. (2024). The digital divide and educational inequalities: A survey-based examination among students of rajasthan. *International Research Journal of Humanities and Interdisciplinary Studies (IRJHIS)*, *5*(7), 133-150. https://doi-ds.org/doilink/07.2024-88731633/IRJHIS2407012

Christanti, M. F., Mawangir, M., Arevin, A. T., Ramadhan, I., & Limijadi, E. K. S. (2024). The digital divide in education: Bridging gaps in the era of online learning. *Migration Letters*, *21*(S4), 1070-1079.

Choudhury, P. K., Joshi, R., & Kumar, A. (2023). Regional and socioeconomic inequalities in access to pre-primary education in India: evidence from a recent household survey. *International Journal of Child Care and Education Policy*, *17*(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40723-023-00117-4

Peurach, D. J., Foster, A. T., Lyle, A. M., & Seeber, E. R. (2022). Democratizing educational innovation and improvement. *The foundational handbook on improvement research in education*, *211*.

Doloi, H. K. (2025). Digital inclusion for rural growth: Internet usage and smart villages development. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Rural Development*, *35*(1), 40-57. https://doi.org/10.1177/10185291251343357

Yang, J., & Zhang, M. (2023). Beyond structural inequality: a socio-technical approach to the digital divide in the platform environment. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *10*(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02326-1

Lo, H. C., Wang, T. H., & Chen, R. S. (2024). Enhancing critical digital literacy of preservice preschool teachers through service learning: the moderator of online social capital. *Sustainability*, *16*(6), 2253. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16062253

Muhammed, K. V. (2025). Transforming Education through Gender-Sensitive Pedagogy in Promoting Gender Equality. *i-Manager's Journal on School Educational Technology*, *21*(2). https://doi.org/10.26634/jsch.21.2.22304

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0

Uyanne, E. O. (2024). Exploring the Psychological Impact of Social Exclusion and Isolation Among Upper Basic Students in Kwara State. *Journal of Pedagogy, Andragogy and Heutagogy in Academic Practice*, *5*(1), 106-120.

Buabeng, I., & Amo-Darko, B. (2025). Curriculum reforms without foundation: The effects of inadequate preparation in curriculum reforms on Ghanaian teachers and the education system. *Curriculum Perspectives*, *45*(2), 133-147. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41297-025-00309-7

Khan, S. (2024). Mapping the digital divide: Bridging inequalities in access to information and technology. *Policy Journal of Social Science Review*, *2*(02), 48-55. https://doi.org/10.63075/pjssr.v2i02.16

Sultana, N., Afroje, S., & Shohel, M. S. H. (2023). Capacity building for teachers in developing countries: A systematic review of sustainable ICT training frameworks with a focus on Bangladesh. *American Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, *4*(04), 27-51. https://doi.org/10.63125/k88zyv83

Zancajo, A., Verger, A., & Bolea, P. (2022). Digitalization and beyond: the effects of Covid-19 on post-pandemic educational policy and delivery in Europe. *Policy and Society*, *41*(1), 111-128. https://doi.org/10.1093/polsoc/puab016

Aramburuzabala, P., Culcasi, I., & Cerrillo, R. (2024). Service-learning and digital empowerment: the potential for the digital education transition in higher education. *Sustainability*, *16*(6), 2448. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16062448

Copyright © 2026, International Journal of Social Welfare and Public Policy Under the license CC BY-SA 4.0